

REMARKS

The indication in the outstanding Office Action that claims 1-5, 11-19, and 30-35 are allowed is appreciated.

The outstanding Office Action includes a rejection of claims 6-10 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In view of the above amendment to claims 6, 7, and 21, it is believed that this rejection has been rendered moot, and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. This rejection is traversed.

The outstanding Office Action states that it is "unclear how the lock structure operates." Figs. 6a-6d show, in the context of the specification at page 12, line 21 through page 13, line 17, how the rotating bracket 42 rotates relative to the stationery bracket 41. As the rotating bracket 42 rotates into the stationery bracket 41, the receiver hook 85 moves the catch 78 and becomes locked in place as shown in Fig. 6d. The catch 78 includes an opening through which the receiver hook 85 moves to engage the catch 78. The opening in the catch 78 is shown by the solid line. The Examiner may have difficulty discerning this from the side view provided in Figs. 6a-6d. Nevertheless, the opening is present in the catch 78 to receive the receiver hook 85. The lever 74 is provided to move the catch 78 away from the receiver hook 85 in order to disengage the catch from the receiver hook 85. For example, the lever arm 74 can be raised so that the catch 78 moves away from the receiver hook 85. This is shown by, for example, going from Fig. 6d to Fig. 6c. The operation proceeds in a reverse manner going from Fig. 6c to Fig. 6d. The lever arm 74 can engage the tab 76 to push the catch 78 away from the receiver hook 85. Accordingly, it is submitted that it is clear from the disclosure in the specification and Figs. 6a-6d how the lever 74 provides for the movement of the catch 78 away from the receiver hook 85 in order to release the rotating bracket 42 from the stationery bracket 41.

In view of the above comments, the present invention complies with the written description requirement of 35 USC §112, first paragraph. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 20 is requested.

The outstanding Office Action includes an objection to the drawings. It is pointed out that reference number 77 has been introduced into Fig. 6a. In addition, it is pointed out that reference number 112 is shown in Fig. 9. In view of these comments, withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is requested.


The specification is amended at page 6 to introduce the U.S. application serial number in place of the identified attorney docket number. At the time the above-identified patent application was filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the serial number was not available. Accordingly, the serial number is now introduced into the application.

In view of the above Amendment and comments, it is believed that Claims 1-21 and 32-35 are in condition for allowance. Early notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903
(612) 332-5300

Date: August 2, 2005


Dennis R. Daley
Reg. No. 34,994



Amendments to the Drawings:

The sheet of drawings attached in the Appendix includes changes to Fig. 6a and Fig. 6b. This sheet replaces the original sheet. The drawings have been changed as follows:

Fig. 6a includes reference number 77 that refers to the pin described by the specification on page 12, lines 29-30.

Fig. 6b has been amended to remove the second occurrence of reference number 74.

Fig. 6b has been amended to remove reference number 82.

Attached in the Appendix is an annotated sheet showing the changes.

Annotated sheet

FIG. 6d




FIG. 6c




FIG. 6b




FIG. 6a

